



FOURTEENTH KERALA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

**COMMITTEE
ON
PUBLIC UNDERTAKINGS
(2019-2021)**

**HUNDRED AND EIGHTH REPORT
(Presented on 24-8-2020)**

**SECRETARIAT OF THE KERALA LEGISLATURE
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM**

2020

FOURTEENTH KERALA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

**COMMITTEE
ON
PUBLIC UNDERTAKINGS
(2019-2021)**

HUNDRED AND EIGHTH REPORT

On

**The action taken by Government on the Recommendations contained in the
Forty Sixth Report of the Committee on Public Undertakings (2014-2016)
relating to Kerala State Housing Board, based on the Report of the
Comptroller and Auditor General of India for the years ended
31st March 2004, 2005 & 2009 (Civil)**

CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
Composition of the Committee ..	v
Introduction ..	vii
Report ..	1
Chapter I Replies furnished by the Government on the recommendations of the Committee which have been accepted by the Committee without remarks ..	2-18
Chapter II Replies furnished by the Government on the recommendations of the Committee which have been accepted by the Committee with remarks ..	19-33

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC UNDERTAKINGS (2019-2021)

COMPOSITION

Chairman :

Shri C. Divakaran.

Members :

Shri K. B. Ganesh Kumar

Shri C. Krishnan

Shri Thiruvanchoor Radhakrishnan

Shri P. T. A. Rahim

Shri S. Rajendran

Shri Raju Abraham

Shri Sunny Joseph

Shri C. F. Thomas

Shri M. Ummer

Shri P. Unni.

Legislature Secretariat :

Shri S. V. Unnikrishnan Nair, Secretary

Shri P. B. Suresh Kumar, Joint Secretary

Shri Harish G., Deputy Secretary

Smt. Reji D. O., Under Secretary.

INTRODUCTION

I, the Chairman, Committee on Public Undertakings (2019-2021) having been authorised by the Committee to present the Report on their behalf, present this Hundred and Eighth Report on the Action Taken by Government on the Recommendations contained in the Forty Sixth Report of the Committee on Public Undertakings (2014-2016) relating to Kerala State Housing Board, based on the Report of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India for the years ended 31st March 2004, 2005 & 2009 (Civil).

The Statement of Action Taken by the Government included in this Report was considered by the Committee at its meetings held on 28-12-2016, 17-7-2017 and 23-10-2019.

This report was considered and approved by the Committee at its meeting held on 5-3-2020.

The Committee place on record its appreciation for the assistance rendered to them by the Accountant General (Audit), Kerala, officials from Housing Department and Kerala State Housing Board who were present during the examination of the Action Taken Statements included in this Report.

Thiruvananthapuram,
5th March 2020.

C. DIVAKARAN,
Chairman,
Committee on Public Undertakings.

REPORT

This Report deals with the action taken by Government on the recommendations contained in the Forty Sixth report of the Committee on Public Undertakings (2014-2016) relating to Kerala State Housing Board, based on the Report of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India for the years ended 31st March 2004, 2005 & 2009 (Civil).

The Forty Sixth Report of the Committee on Public Undertakings (2014-2016) was presented to the House on 30th June 2014. The Report contained 9 recommendations and the Government furnished replies to all the recommendations.

The Committee considered the replies received from the Government at its meetings held on 28-12-2016, 17-7-2017 and 23-10-2019.

The Committee accepted the replies to the recommendations in Para Nos. 8, 9, 10, 11, 23 & 24 without remarks. These recommendations and the replies furnished by the Government forms Chapter I of this Report.

The Committee accepted the replies to the recommendations in Para Nos. 17, 21 & 22 with remarks. These recommendations, the replies furnished by the Government and remarks of the Committee forms Chapter II of this Report.

CHAPTER-I

REPLIES FURNISHED BY THE GOVERNMENT ON THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE COMMITTEE WHICH HAS BEEN ACCEPTED BY THE COMMITTEE WITHOUT REMARKS

Sl. No.	Para No.	Department Concerned	Conclusions/Recommendations	Action Taken by the Government
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1	8	Housing	<p>The Committee finds that failure of implementation of the Nalanchira Housing Accommodation Scheme was due to defective internal working system and culpable irresponsibility on the part of the officials of the Board and lack of co-ordination between the Board and LA unit. Lack of systematic and effective Machinery in the Board to monitor Land Acquisition Cases and delay in filing restitution petition have resulted in the excess payment of compensation and subsequent non-recovery of excess payment. The Committee expresses its</p>	<p>The scheme was successfully completed and allotment was fully effected within the time. In the matter of land acquisition the District Collector and the Special Tahsildar(LA) representing the Government are primarily responsible for all works related to land acquisition till the passing of the award and also for all Land Acquisition references and subsequent Appeals consequent on the passing of the Award. This includes filing of Restitution Petition and cheque applications.</p> <p>The Special Tahsildar on 28-6-1985 informed the Board that the amendments as per the Land Acquisition Act of 1984 has</p>

displeasure over the poor performance of the Board and recommends that the Board should evolve a comprehensive plan to strengthen the internal working system and requisite steps should be taken to monitor Land Acquisition Cases. The Committee desires to be furnished with the details of the action taken in this regard.

retrospective effect from 30-4-1982 onwards and that all the benefits as per the Act shall be made applicable to the land owners. Based on the Award the Land owners filed LAR No.39/88 for 238.41 Ares and LAR No. 5/88 for 63.73 Ares for enhanced compensation. In both the cases Government represented by the Special Land Acquisition Officer was the only respondent. The Board was not made a party in the petition and hence there was no opportunity for the Board to file the counter.

During that period only the state represented by the Land Acquisition Officer was made the Respondent in LAR cases and Government Pleader used to appear for these cases. This was the procedure in LAR cases in other schemes also where land was acquired by the Board. The Hon'ble Sub Court, Thiruvananthapuram in the Judgements pronounced on 26th March 1992 in LAR No.5/1988 and 26th March 1992 in LAR No.39/1988 ordered a huge amount as enhanced compensation. The Government

1	2	3	4	5
				<p>filed appeal against the said Judgement. The Board was not a Petitioner in the appeal petition. The Hon'ble High Court admitted the Appeal and ordered that 50% of the enhanced compensation shall be deposited in the court for allowing the stay in Execution Petition and that the claimants can withdraw the amount after producing necessary Bank guarantee. In the wake of the Hon'ble High Court's Judgement an amount of Rs. 415167/- in LAR No. 39/88 and an amount of Rs. 25,00,000/in LAR No.5/88 was handed over to the Land Acquisition Officer and strict instructions were given to him that withdrawal of deposit money may be allowed only on production of Bank guarantee. Thus under the circumstances the Board had no other option but to comply with the Judgement of the Hon'ble High Court. It is the Special Tahasildar (LA) who receives the Bank guarantee and release the deposit money. Since this was done under his</p>

				<p>supervision and control the Board had no doubts regarding the same. Moreover since the deposit money was released to the land owners the renewal of security deposit in time was also the vested responsibility of LA Tahsildar. The Hon'ble High Court vide Judgement dated 4-12-1998 pronounced the judgement of the Hon'ble Sub Court null and void and ordered fresh trial by the Sub Court. In the fresh trial conducted by the Sub Court the Board was also made a party and the Board's Advocate was entrusted with the case. All available evidences including the consent letter was submitted before the Court and the land value was re-fixed @ Rs. 2,970/ to 3,630/ per cent (category wise) by the Hon'ble Sub Court (In the Judgement of 1992 the land value was fixed @ Rs.7,500/ per cent). In the wake of the new order request was placed before the land Acquisition Officer to realise the additional payment made to the land owners and refund the amount to the Board. The Judgement</p>
--	--	--	--	--

1	2	3	4	5
				<p>dated 11-4-2001 of the Hon'ble Sub Court was received by the Board only on 20-3-2002. On 1-4-2002 the L.A. Tahsildar filed Restitution Petition for realising the additional amount received by the land owners. In the meantime the land owner filed Appeal Petition No.800/2002 against the Judgement in LAR 39/88 and Appeal Petition No.1341/2002 against the Judgement in LAR 5/88 before the Hon'ble High Court. The State and the Board along with Tilak Nagar Allottee's Association as additional claimants filed LAA 844/2002, 1842/2002 & 1340/2002.</p> <p>In the Judgement pronounced on 10-8-2005 the land was categorised and land value re-fixed viz. A category-Rs. 4000/cent. B category-Rs. 3500/cent, C category Rs.3150/cent and D category- Rs. 250/cent. The arguments raised for allowing benefits under 28 (A) was approved.</p>

				<p>Before pronouncement of the said High Court Judgement the Sub Court pronounced Judgement dated 8-2-2005 in IA No.74/2002 filed by the State where in it is declared that as per Judgement dated 11-4-2001 of the Hon'ble Sub Court an additional amount of Rs. 33,71,061/ had been received by the Land Owners in LAR 39/88 and that the said amount will carry interest @ 9% for one year and thereafter @ 15% till the date of remittance and that the total amount shall be refunded by the Land owners. Similarly in the Judgement dated 3-11-2004 of Hon'ble Sub Court in IA No. 75/2002 in LAR 5/88 filed by the State the Hon'ble Court declared that the land owners had received an additional amount of Rs. 23,09,307/ which will carry interest @9% for one year and @15% till the date of remittance. It was while preparing the Statement of Accounts based on the Judgements of the Hon'ble Sub Court it was noticed that 1.36 crores rupees mentioned in the Audit Report is to be realised from the land owners.</p>
--	--	--	--	---

1	2	3	4	5
				<p>The Board took prompt steps to realise the additional amount received by the land owners as per the above Judgements by initiating legal steps against them and special Advocate was engaged for the purpose. Simultaneously the property belonging to the land owners and their legal heirs comprising of 13.85 Ares in Sy. No. 736/37 in Ulloor Village and 29.70 Ares in Sy. No. 734/41 and all the movable and immovable properties in it have been attached through Auction as per order dated 14-11-2012 of the Sub Court, Thiruvananthapuram and steps have been taken for realising the amount due to the Board. The judgement debtors in LAR No.5/88 and LAR No.39/88 have deposited an amount of Rs. 31 lakhs and Rs. 19,89,000/ respectively till date. Out of this amount on amount of Rs.48,89,000/ have been released by the Court and deposited in Board's account. The Special Tahsildar, LA (General) has taken steps for transferring the balance amount to Board's account.</p>

In LAR No.39/88 the Hon'ble Sub Court have appointed a commission for calculation of amount due and the Board have filed objection to the calculation submitted by the commission. In LAR 5/88 the claimants have now expressed their willingness to settle the account with 6% interest and the Board have given instruction to the Advocate to advance the case and settle the matter and realize an approximate amount of Rs. 23 lakhs at the earliest.

Regarding strengthening of internal working system, the Revenue Officer of the Board and all the staff in the Revenue Section are constantly following up the status of the LAR cases with the Government Pleader, the Special Tahsildar LA (General) the Sub Courts and the High Court. Recently in the case related to Pandit's Colony HAS where Board had to get back excess amount refunded the Board's Panel Advocate was

1	2	3	4	5
				<p>also engaged for filing Lapse Application and the matter was speeded up and the amount was refunded to Board. The Additional Government Pleaders who appears for these cases on behalf of the State of Kerala are not well versed in the cases.</p> <p>In most of the cases the Board is not a respondent and it is the responsibility of the District Collector, the Special Tahsilar LA (General) and the Additional Government Pleader to see to it that the Appeals, cheque applications etc. are filed in time. They are not made accountable at any point of time in spite of serious lapses on their part. So the Board have now become more vigilant and whenever a case is on the list, the officers of the Board in various divisions of the Board are engaged for following up the cases by meeting these authorities in person.</p>
2	9	Housing	The Committee observes that the officers of the Board and Land Acquisition Officer jointly committed	In the instant case Government vide letter dated 12-4-1982 gave instructions for passing the award before 15-5-1982. At that

grave criminal offence by suppressing the consent letter, the vital piece of evidence from the knowledge of the Hon'ble Court, which prohibits the landowners right for seeking higher compensation. The Committee is suspicious of ulterior motives and criminal conspiracy between the responsible officials and landowners. The Committee recommends that a detailed investigation should be conducted so that the real picture of the plot could be brought to light and culprits brought to book for their culpable offence. The Committee suggests that it would be advisable to appoint a LAO under the direct control of the Board so that the Board can exercise its authority in his dealings and would make him directly accountable to the Board. The Committee opines that this in turn would enable the Board to monitor

time the preliminary works for the scheme were not started by the Board. Hence the Board gave strict instruction to the Land Acquisition Tahsildar on 10-5-1982 that before taking advance possession of the land he should obtain a consent letter from the claimants that they will not demand any interest. However the land owners included a condition in the consent letter that they will not demand any interest if compensation is given before 13-5-1982. However this fact was not communicated to the Board by the LA Tahsildar. Had the Board known about it the decision for taking advance possession of land would have been cancelled. Hence there is no criminal conspiracy between the Board officials and the land owners. As the Board was not respondent in the LAR cases it was responsibility of the Additional Government Pleader and the Special Tahsildar, LA to produce the consent letter before the Court.

1	2	3	4	5
			<p>and exercise effective control over the Land Acquisition activities of the Board.</p>	<p>Regarding the appointment of LA Officer for the Board it is pointed that the Board have not acquired land in recent years and hence there is no need for an LA officer at present. The suggestion may seriously be taken up by the Board in the event of land acquisition in future.</p>
3	10	Housing	<p>The Committee finds that even after getting a favourable judgement, the Board had failed to file restitution petition in time to recover the amount from land owners. The Committee therefore recommends that immediate steps should be taken to realise the amount from land owners and facts should be intimated to the Committee without fail.</p>	<p>The Board took prompt steps to realise the additional amount received by the land owners as per the various Judgements by initiating legal steps against the claimants and special Advocate was engaged for the purpose. Simultaneously the property belonging to the land owners and their legal heirs comprising of 13.85 Ares in Sy. No. 736/37 and 29.70 Ares in Sy. No. 734/41 in Ulloor Village and all the movable and immovable properties in it have been attached through Auction as per order dated 14-11-2012 of the Sub Court, Thiruvananthapuram and steps have been taken for realising the amount due to the</p>

				<p>Board. The judgement debtors in LAR No 5/88 and LAR No. 39/88 have deposited an amount of Rs. 31 lakhs and Rs.19,89,000/ respectively till date. Out of this amount an amount of Rs. 48,89,000/ have been released by the Court and deposited in Board's account. The Special Tahsildar, LA (General) has taken steps for transferring the balance amount to Board's account. In the LAR No.39/88 the Hon'ble Sub Court have appointed a commission for calculation of amount due and the Board have filed objection to the calculation submitted by the commission. Hence speedy and effective action is now being taken for realization of the amount due to the Board.</p>
4	11	Housing	<p>The Committee expresses its displeasure over the negligence and irresponsible attitude of the Officials of the Board. The Committee finds that a fraudulent cohesion among the responsible Officials of the Board, Revenue Department and land owners</p>	<p>The Board at its meeting held on 26-11-1983 decided to request the Government to take disciplinary action against the LA Tahsildar and the District Collector vide letter No. K10-40448/92 informed the Government that instructions have been given to District Government Pleader to</p>

1	2	3	4	5
			<p>had been working against the interest of the Board. The Committee understands that no disciplinary action has been taken against the officials till date. The Committee recommends that it should be furnished with the details regarding the commencement of departmental enquiry and its present position and the details of officials against whom cases have been charged. The Committee further recommends that the Vigilance and Anticorruption Bureau should be entrusted to conduct a thorough investigation covering even the minute details of the deal which caused much loss to the exchequer and also recommends that appropriate action should be taken against the officials of the Board and LA unit who failed in discharging their duty in good faith. The action taken against the officials involved in this misappropriation should also be intimated to the</p>	<p>provide the details of Government Pleaders who are responsible for making additional payment and for submitting explanation and that the details of delinquent officers of Revenue Department will be informed to Government immediately. However the Board have not been informed of the follow up action thereafter.</p> <p>In normal course the Requisition Authority will neither intervene nor influence the proceedings of the Acquisition Authority. This was the stand adopted by the Board in the land acquisition of Nalanchira HAS and also in the subsequent LAR cases. Since action is being taken through the Court for realising the additional amount remitted by the Board and the realisation of the amount with interest is a certainty, the explanation of the Board on the remarks of the Public Sector Undertaking Committee on its 46th Report regarding the Land Acquisition proceedings related to Nalanchira Housing Scheme may be accepted and the remarks of the Committee may kindly be dropped.</p>

			<p>Committee in time. The Committee also recommends that steps should be taken to include a safety clause in the Bank Guarantee to enable the Board, to encash the same if not renewed within 21 days prior to expiry.</p>	
5	23	Housing	<p>The Committee is not satisfied with the overall performance of the Board. Hence the Committee recommends to conduct an exhaustive and independent study of various activities undertaken by the Board during the past 15 years to understand the antecedents which were the main causes of the present deplorable conditions of the Board. The Committee opines that as a nodal agency of the Government the Board should be more prudent, practical and vigilant in every stage of the execution of housing projects so as to avoid financial loss to the maximum extent possible.</p>	<p>Considering the various activities undertaken by the Board during the last 15 years, the recommendations of the Committee will be considered with due importance in implementing projects in future.</p>

1	2	3	4	5
6	24	Housing	<p>The committee urges that the following eight steps should be adhered to by the Board in its future activities:</p> <p>(1) <i>Fixation of lease rent:</i> This is to be done taking into account the expected cost of construction of shops and provide for a decent return on the capital investment. Fair and transparent formula for fixation of rents would consist of the following steps:</p> <p>(a) Computation of the initial rent linked to the size and location of the shops.</p> <p>(b) Automatic periodic revision of the rents as per predetermined formula (period and rate of increase to be specified). This is to be notified to the potential applicants for assessment of demand.</p>	<p>The Board will implement the recommendation of the committee on para 24-1, 3, 5, 6, 7. The Board conduct demand survey before implementing a housing scheme. The recommendation of the committee is noted for future guidance.</p> <p>Construction activities are carried out fixing specific time of completion. But sometimes non-availability of building materials change in weather conditions etc. affected the progress of the work in turn prolongs projects, against the scheduled time.</p> <p>Para 24(2)</p> <p>The Board used to conduct demand survey whenever new projects are taken up. The Recommendations of the committee will also be considered in future.</p> <p>Para 24 (4)</p>

(2) *Assessment of demand:* This helps in ascertaining whether there are parties willing to take on rent shops to be constructed.

(3) *Collection of goodwill amount from potential lessees:* The submission of the amount will indicate how many of the potential lessees are serious about occupying the shops.

(4) Construction of the shops in a time bound manner.

(5) Agreements with the lessees providing for automatic revision of rents at predetermined rates.

(6) Watch register to monitor the receipt of rents. Every month the watch register would also indicate which properties are due for revision of rents.

Construction activities are carried out fixing specific time of completion. But sometimes non-availability of building materials, change in weather conditions etc. affects the progress of the work in turn prolongs projects, against the scheduled time.

Para 24 (8)

The annual maintenance necessary for the buildings are being carried out by the Board.

1	2	3	4	5
			<p>(7) Clauses for evacuation of lessees in the event of default. The clause would specifically state the number of defaults in payment, which would result in automatic eviction. The number of defaults so specified should be less than the security deposit collected to act as a safeguard against loss of rent during the vacation proceedings and fixation of new parties.</p> <p>(8) Maintenance schedule describing the periodicity of maintenance works so that maintenance is carried out in a regular cycle.</p>	

CHAPTER-II

REPLIES FURNISHED BY THE GOVERNMENT ON THE RECOMMENDATIONS
OF THE COMMITTEE WHICH HAVE BEEN ACCEPTED BY THE
COMMITTEE WITH REMARKS

Sl. No.	Para No.	Department Concerned	Conclusions/Recommendations	Action Taken by the Government
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1	17	Housing	The Committee opines that the failure of Balaramapuram Housing Project of Kerala State Housing Board due to scarcity of water had revealed mismanagement, inefficiency and lack of proper planning in the Board. The Committee finds that inordinate delay had occurred in allotting residential flats and shopping complex to the beneficiaries even though	The project was planned in 1995 for the construction of 184 flats and a seven storied commercial complex. During that period, the water from KWA was sufficient to meet the requirement of the scheme. Owing to several technical backlogs, the Board could achieve the target only in 2005. Many developments have come up in the meantime by which, the KWA found it hard to meet the demands of the scheme. To surmount the situation, Board has purchased a plot adjacent to the scheme to facilitate open well, which is still being used as a major source to meet the demands. But during summer, it finds hard to feed the entire scheme even after two bore wells dug, it could not serve the intended purpose.

the Construction had been completed in 2001 and the investment thus made failed to fetch any return. The Committee could not understand the logic which persuaded the Board to envisage such a big housing project without conducting feasibility and viability study in identifying source of Water in the project area, which was one of the primary requirements for flats. The Committee, therefore recommends that responsibility should be fixed and stringent action should be taken against the responsible officers whose negligence resulted in the profligacy of public

Meantime KWA had been again requested to explore the possibility to keep all needs. It was further informed by the KWA that a new project is expected to be launched shortly in the Balamapuram Region, by which the issues could be resolved to a greater extent. The Executive Engineer KWA Neyyattinkara assured adequate distribution of water to meet the demands of the Balamapuram Housing Accommodation scheme as and when their project is commissioned. The Board has also approached the Managing Director KWA and the Minister for irrigation and Water Supply for materializing the above assurance.

These issues were brought into the notice of Allotees Association of the scheme and made them aware of the situation. The association requested to find a solution to those living in the elevated units, for which separate pipe lines were laid and settled the issue. Even under such adverse condition, Board had taken keen efforts for resolving the issues.

Out of the 184 flats and 64 shops, 135 flats and 55 shops have already been sold. Even though there is

1	2	3	4	5
			<p>money. The Committee further recommends that before launching housing projects the Board should make prior tie-up with serious buyers and collect non-refundable advance and the management should be more vigilant to avoid such lapses in future.</p>	<p>high demand for the vacant flats and shops, as recommended by the Sub Committee of the Board, it has been kept unallotted till the water problem is solved. The Board is still confident that it could sell all the vacant flats, shops and office space at a profitable rate, when the project of KWA is completed.</p> <p>Considering the above, remarks of the Committee on Public Undertakings the scheme "inmanagement, inefficient and lack of planning" may be reviewed and dropped. As the issue is a general one and is interlinked with other departments of the Government, the Board alone cannot find a solution to the problems and hence the officers of the Board cannot be held responsible for the present situation.</p> <p>The Board assures that it shall always keep in mind the suggestions and recommendations of the Public Undertakings Committee while planning similar projects in future.</p>

			<p>Additional information sought by the Committee The Committee wants to know the present status of flats in Balaramapuram Housing Scheme. The Committee also wants to know the present status of ongoing projects of Kerala State Housing Board.</p>	<p>Further Reply furnished by the Government Balaramapuram HAS The present status of Balaramapuram HAS Flats is as follows:-</p> <p>Total number of flats -184 units. Number of Vacant flats as on 24-7-2018-53 Units.</p> <p>As per Board decision dated 22-2-2012 it was decided to allot vacant flats after carrying out maintenance works which are essential and finding remedy for scarcity of water. Till date water supply to the flats is provided by pumping water from open well which is dug in the plot purchased by Kerala State Housing Board adjacent to the scheme. The water from this well is sufficient to meet the demand in most of time, but during summer season it finds hard to feed the entire demand, of the scheme. So Kerala Water authority had been requested for water supply to the scheme. In reply to this Kerala Water Authority has informed that the work for the water supply for whole Balaramapuram area is going on and on completion of this work, water supply can be given to cater the need of the whole</p>
--	--	--	---	--

1	2	3	4	5										
				<p>scheme. At present the construction of 13,00,000 litre capacity water tank is almost completed by Kerala Water Authority at Vanika Theruvu near Balaramapuram junction. Now it is informed by Kerala Water Authority that the scheme will be commissioned within 2-3 months. On commissioning of the above scheme the problem of scarcity of water can be solved and allotment of flats can be conducted.</p> <p>Present stage of flats are given below :</p> <p>Total no of flats constructed 184 nos. No of flats allotted 131 nos. No of flats vacant 53 nos.</p> <p>The present on going schemes are listed below:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="770 638 1378 931"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="770 638 847 808">Sl. No.</th> <th data-bbox="847 638 1001 808">Description of works</th> <th data-bbox="1001 638 1101 808">T.S. Amount (in lakhs)</th> <th data-bbox="1101 638 1208 808">No. of beds/ Units</th> <th data-bbox="1208 638 1378 808">Present stage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="770 808 847 931">A</td> <td data-bbox="847 808 1001 931">Saphalyam Housing Scheme</td> <td data-bbox="1001 808 1101 931"></td> <td data-bbox="1101 808 1208 931"></td> <td data-bbox="1208 808 1378 931"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl. No.	Description of works	T.S. Amount (in lakhs)	No. of beds/ Units	Present stage	A	Saphalyam Housing Scheme			
Sl. No.	Description of works	T.S. Amount (in lakhs)	No. of beds/ Units	Present stage										
A	Saphalyam Housing Scheme													

				1	Lakkidi Perur, Palakkad	84	24 units	Finishing works to be done
				2	Chelannur, Kozhikkode	231	66 units	Finishing work and open well to be done
				B	Working Women's Hostel			
				1	Kattappana, Idukki	564.75	125	Completed and inauguration fixed on 22-1-19
				2	Edappally, Ernakulam	375.69	98	Work completed and ready for functioning
				3	Manantha wadi, Wayanadu (3 storied)	1422	169	Basement and ground floor slab cast

1	2	3	4	5				
				C	Revenue Towers			
				1	Haripad storied), alappuzha (7)	1990	65000 Sq. ft. office space	Office space nearing completion
				2	Kadakampally, Trivandrum (6 floors + machine room)	500	12235 Sq. ft. office space	Office space foundation works started
<p>In addition to these projects Board has been appointed as SPV for the construction of 24 Nos. of Revenue Tower/Mini Civil Station under Revenue Department with KIIFB fund.</p> <p>Administrative Sanction has been issued for the construction of Revenue Towers at Vellarikund (Project cost Rs. 11.78 Crore) in Kasaragode district and Mattannur (project cost Rs. 34.30 crore) in Kannur District and action is being to get sanction from KIIFB.</p>								

				<p>Other projects have to be formulated as and when land is provided to Board by Revenue Department.</p> <p>Government have engaged KSHB as one of the Accredited agencies for undertaking construction works for various Government Departments and Government Organisation. Accordingly KSHB is now implementing various projects for Government Departments as Consultancy/Deposit Works about Rs. 1000 crores (Approx). These works are now at various stages of implementation.</p>
<p>Remarks:- The Committee remarks that the reason for failure of projects taken by Housing Board is inadequacy in respect of professionalism, accountability, inefficiency in management, and besides, proper feasibility studies had not been conducted in this regard.</p> <p>The Committee observes that the Housing board has still not been able to allot 53 flats in the Balaramapuram scheme. Hence the Committee suggests that urgent steps to be taken to allot vacant flats to persons who are in need of them. The Committee also wants to be furnished with a detailed report on the action taken by the Board for the allotment of flats in the scheme to beneficiaries.</p>				
2	21	Housing	<p>The Committee finds that the unproductive expenditure on the revenue tower in Ernakulam</p>	<p>Government vide G.O.(Ms) No.44/94/Hsg., dated 8-8-1994 had approved the Project Report for the construction of 7 storied Revenue Tower (794096 M²) at Ernakulam in 2.80 Acres of Government land. The</p>

1	2	3	4	5
			<p>district depicts a typical example of negligence and irresponsible attitude on the part of the Board. The Committee opines that there is no justification in increasing the height of revenue tower without obtaining non-objection Certificate from Southern Naval Command and building permit from Kochi Corporation, which paved the way for the noncompletion of the project for 14 years and the expenditure of Rs. 23.29 crore remained idle for the period. The Committee is much displeased to note that the failure of the project was</p>	<p>land made available to Housing Board for the implementation of the project was only 85 cents. Hence the number of floors were increased to utilize full potential of the available land and hence Revenue Tower was designed with 15 floors (basement + Ground floor + 13 floors). When applied for building permit, permission got for 15 floors + stair room (ie. 46.64m above MSL). Revised Administrative Sanction obtained for the project vide G.O.(Ms) No. 50/ 2004/Hsg dated. 15-10-2004 and work completed on 26-6-2009. The Revenue Tower consists of 40 shops at basement floor, 8 shops and parking facilities at Ground floor, 39 shops each in first & second floors, restaurant at III floor and offices in 4th to 12th floors.</p> <p>The permitted height by the Commander CAVO was only 46.60 above AMSL. But when the machine room & water tank were accommodated in the top floor of the building, as per the Board decision dated 14-12-2000 the height of the building was increased.</p> <p>The work was proceeded upto a height of 51.4m (ie height upto roof level of 12th floor (47.4 m)+4m</p>

solely on account of unpardonable slackness and negligence on the part of the Officers of the Board. The Committee therefore recommends that responsibility for the loss sustained to the Board should be fixed upon who had taken the decision to increase the height of the tower and the amount should be realised from them without fail.

height for stair room) under the presumption that, the building would get aviation clearance at a later stage. The purpose of the Revenue Tower itself is to bring all Government offices under one roof, such that public need not move around the city/town in search of offices for getting their issues settled. With such good intention only the Board had carried out the work and completed the building. The Board was under presumption that a building which got permit from Corporation would get aviation clearance too when applied. Now building tax upto 12th floor has been remitted in Cochin Corporation. The Board in its meeting held on 27-8-2014, entrusted the consultant M/s Lardner North & Company to get aviation clearance for the building and the officials of Kerala State Housing Board to closely follow up with the consultant. However, Board is trying its best to convince the facts to the aviation department for getting the aviation clearance.

On verification of the final bill of the Civil contractor, M/s. Silpi construction contractors no amount is seen realised from the contractor as fine for the delay in

1	2	3	4	5
				<p>completion of the works as per the report of Executive Engineer. The details of Architectural payment given to the consultant Architect M/s. Ajith Associates is enclosed herewith. (Annexure I)</p> <p>The height of the REVENUE TOWER at Ernakulam was increased by 4.0m from that of permitted level (46.6m above mean sea level). The present height of the building is 50.6m above MSL. The additional height of 4.0m was necessitated due to the construction of lift machine room and over head tank which are inevitable for the functioning of the building. The decision to increase the height of the building was taken in the works committee meeting held on 28-10-2000 (Annexure II) Board Members (2 Numbers), Chief Engineer, Chief Project Engineer, Regional Engineer and Executive Engineer of Kerala State Housing Board, and Consultant architect were participated. It is also seen that the decision of the works Committee meeting has also been approved by the Board in its meeting held on 14-12-2000 (Annexure III). As the decision to increase the height of the building was taken collectively, no official can</p>

				<p>be made responsible. Also the Board Chairman, Members, Secretary and officials who were present in the works Committee are no more in service and some of them are not even alive now. So it is impractical to fix the liability against them at this time, as proposed by the PUC.</p> <p>Since the decision was taken with good intention and in good faith, on the basis of Section 138 of Kerala State Housing Board Act 1971, the previous Boards and from being considered responsible for the loss sustained.</p> <p>It is submitted that utmost care should be taken to avoid such lapses in future. In view of the aforesaid facts, the recommendation made by the PUC on the construction of Revenue Tower, Ernakulam may be dropped on merits.</p>
<p>Remarks:- The Committee is of the view that the decision taken by the Board to proceed with increasing the height of the Revenue tower under the presumption that the building would get aviation clearance was totally wrong. The Committee expresses its displeasure over the replies furnished by the Government on this recommendation. The Committee suggests that responsibility for the loss sustained to the Board should be fixed on whoever had taken the decision to increase the height of the tower and that the amount should be realised from them.</p>				

1	2	3	4	5																			
3	22	Housing	<p>The Committee suspects overall mismanagement and lack of planning of projects in the Board. Moreover the Board had not taken due care and caution before executing projects. The Committee is suspicious of deliberate attempt on the part of the responsible officers of the Board to help private builders by toppling the projects of the Board. The Committee recommends that the implementation should be investigated by the Vigilance and Anti corruption Bureau and the outcome intimated to the Committee.</p>	<p>The Schemes for which investigation by the Vigilance and Anti corruption Bureau to be done is not specified clearly by the Committee. The Secretary Kerala Legislative Assembly furnished the following list of schemes for which investigation by Vigilance and Anti Corruption Bureau to be conducted.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Nalanchira Housing Accommodation Scheme 2. Balaramapuram Housing Scheme 3. Ernakulam Revenue Tower Construction Scheme <p>Based on this report Government have decided to conduct Vigilance enquiry by Vigilance and Anti Corruption Bureau.</p> <p>The floorwise details of utilisation of building as on 28-2-2019 is attached.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="760 780 1356 975"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="760 780 840 862">Floor</th> <th data-bbox="840 780 925 862">No. of shops</th> <th colspan="2" data-bbox="925 780 1094 862">Rented out</th> <th colspan="3" data-bbox="1094 780 1356 862">Vacant</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="760 862 840 975">Base ment</td> <td data-bbox="840 862 925 975">40</td> <td data-bbox="925 862 1010 975">15</td> <td data-bbox="1010 862 1094 975">3932</td> <td data-bbox="1094 862 1167 975">25</td> <td data-bbox="1167 862 1248 975">5494</td> <td data-bbox="1248 862 1356 975"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>						Floor	No. of shops	Rented out		Vacant			Base ment	40	15	3932	25	5494	
Floor	No. of shops	Rented out		Vacant																			
Base ment	40	15	3932	25	5494																		

				Ground	8	1	166	7	1438	
				First	39	4	645	35	7060	
				Second	39	20	3778	19	3927	
				Total	126	40	8521	86	17919	Total Area 26,440 sq.ft
Office Area										
				Third		Nil			6830	Electrical inspect orate agreed to take over after repair work.

1	2	3	4	5					
				Fourth to 12 th		56523		8584	SBCI D ready to occup y 3924 sq.ft.

Remarks:- The Committee expresses its serious displeasure for the delay in conducting vigilance enquiry. Hence the Committee strongly recommends that the following listed projects should be investigated by the Vigilance and Anticorruption Bureau and that a detailed report with the findings of Vigilance be submitted without any delay.

1. Nalanchira Housing Accommodation Scheme.
2. Balaramapuram Housing Scheme
3. Ernakulam Revenue Tower Construction Scheme

Thiruvananthapuram,
5th March 2020.

C. DIVAKARAN,
Chairman,
Committee on Public Undertakings.

TABLE III ARCHITECT'S FEES

ANNEXURE - I

REVENUE TOWER	PAYMENT SO FAR MADE	ORDER NO. & DATE	AMOUNT PAID (Rs.)
IRNAKULAM		1939/94/Arch. dated 29.10.1994	98,763/-
		1310/94/Arch. dated 24.01.1995	98,763/-
		724/95/Arch. dated 18.05.1995	5,44,735/-
		1153/95/Arch. dated 24.08.1995	6,93,682/-
		1521/95/Arch. dated 07.11.1995	5,15,103/-
		523/96/Arch. dated 25.04.1996	1,08,391/-
		622/97/Arch. dated 02.07.1997	3,50,543/-
		1071/2000 (D2-32/2000 Arch) dtd 20.12.2000	1,04,982/-
		297/2005 (32/2000/Arch) did. 18.06.2005	6,17,626/-
		TOTAL	31,32,588/-

18 2000 ൽ വൈകിട്ട് മണിക്ക് എറണാകുളം റവന്യൂ ട്രഷൂരി ഹൈറ്റിൻ വച്ചു കൂടിയ വർക്കിംഗ് കമ്മിറ്റിയുടെ മിനിറ്റ്സ്

- പി.എ.ടി. കൂടുമ്പിള്ളി, ചെമ്മൂർമാൻ
- പി.എ.ടി. റിവാക്രമൻ, ബോർഡ് മെമ്പർ
- എസ്. ഭാസ്കരപിള്ള, ബോർഡ് മെമ്പർ
- കെ.ജെ. വർഗ്ഗീസ് (ഐ.എഫ്.എസ്) സെക്രട്ടറി
- കെ.എ. മുതാമസ്. ടി.പി. എഞ്ചിനീയർ
- പി.പി.കെ. അയ്യപ്പൻ, ചീഫ് അപ്പോളക് എഞ്ചിനീയർ
- പി.കെ. രാമേശ്വരപറമ്പത്ത്, റീജിയണൽ എഞ്ചിനീയർ
- പി.എസ്. സെൻദ്രജാൻ, എക്സിക്യൂട്ടീവ് എഞ്ചിനീയർ
- പി.എസ്. വേണുഗോപാൽ, എക്സിക്യൂട്ടീവ് എഞ്ചിനീയർ (ഇലക്ട്രിക്കൽ)
- പി.എ.ടി. അരുൺമോഹൻസാ, ഓഡിറ്റർ കൊറിയ, അസിസ്റ്റന്റ് എക്സിക്യൂട്ടീവ് എഞ്ചിനീയർ (ഇലക്ട്രിക്കൽ)
- പി.എ.ടി. അരുൺമോഹൻസാ, അസിസ്റ്റന്റ് എക്സിക്യൂട്ടീവ് എഞ്ചിനീയർ (ഇലക്ട്രിക്കൽ)
- പി.എ.ടി. പി.കെ. ജോയി, അസിസ്റ്റന്റ് എഞ്ചിനീയർ
- പി.എ.ടി. വർഗ്ഗീസ് വർക്കി, അസിസ്റ്റന്റ് എഞ്ചിനീയർ (ഇലക്ട്രിക്കൽ)
- പി.എ.ടി. ബി.ആർ.ബഷീർ, ആർക്കിടെക്ട്, മെ. അജീത് അസോസിയേറ്റ്സ്
- പി.എ.ടി. എ.എഫ്.സി.പി. മെ. ശീലി പി കൺസ്ട്രക്ഷൻസ്.

18 2000 ൽ വൈകിട്ട് മൂന്ന് മണിക്ക് ആരംഭിച്ചു. എറണാകുളം റവന്യൂ ട്രഷൂരി പ്രോജക്ടിന്റെ പ്രാരംഭത്തെക്കുറിച്ച് കമ്മിറ്റി അവലോകനം നടത്തി താഴെപ്പറയുന്ന നിർദ്ദേശങ്ങളും പ്രമേയങ്ങളും എടുക്കുകയുണ്ടായി.

ചീഫ്റ്റ് റിപ്പോർട്ടർ റ്റും, ട്രഷൂറി വൈലിലുള്ള വാട്ടർ ടാങ്ക്

ചീഫ്റ്റ് റിപ്പോർട്ടർ റ്റും, ട്രഷൂറി വൈലിലുള്ള വാട്ടർ ടാങ്കും ഉടനടി പണിയേണ്ടതുണ്ട്. വായ്പയുടെ സിട്രക്ചറൽ ഡ്രോയിംഗുകൾ 2000 ഒക്ടോബറിൽ പൂർത്തീകരിക്കുകയുണ്ടായി. ട്രഷൂറിയിൽ ഡ്രോയിംഗുകളുടെ മൂന്നു കോപ്പി കൂടി 1.11.2000 ൽ നൽകാമെന്ന് വർക്കിംഗ് കമ്മിറ്റി അജീത് അസോസിയേറ്റ്സ് കയ്യെടുക്കുകയുണ്ടായി.

സെക്കോലാർ കർട്ടൺസ്റ്റേസിംഗ് സിട്രക്ചറൽ സ്റ്റേസിംഗ്

കർട്ടൺസ്റ്റേസിംഗ് പ്രകാരം റെഗുലർ സർവ്വീസുകൾ സെക്കോലാർ കർട്ടൺസ്റ്റേസിംഗിന് ഉണ്ടായിരിക്കണം. ഡി.എ.ടി.യിലുണ്ട്. പുറം ഭിത്തിയിലൂടെ ഏതാണ്ട് മൊത്തമായി റെഗുലർ സർവ്വീസുകൊണ്ടാണ് ഈ കർട്ടൺസ്റ്റേസിംഗിന് നല്ല വശവും നിർമ്മിക്കുവാൻ എസ്റ്റിമേറ്റ് പ്രകാരം ഏർപ്പിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളത്. കർട്ടൺ സ്റ്റേസിംഗിന് കോൺക്രീറ്റിൽ ഫ്ലോയിംഗ് മെറ്റീരിയൽ പുറത്തേക്ക് ഒഴുക്കി നീക്കിക്കൊടുക്കുകയും പുറത്തേക്ക് അന്തരീക്ഷവുമായി എടുപ്പാക്കുകയും ചെയ്യണം. - റെഗുലർ സർവ്വീസുകൊണ്ട് കോൺക്രീറ്റിൽ അകത്തേക്ക് വെള്ളം വളരെ കൂടുതലും ആയിരിക്കും. അതിനു പരിഹാരമായി, ആധുനിക വാസ്തുശില്പ പ്രകാരം സിട്രക്ചറൽ സ്റ്റേസിംഗും, വിവിധ റെഗുലർ സർവ്വീസും ഉപയോഗിക്കുന്നതിന് കർട്ടൺസ്റ്റേസിംഗിന് ആർക്കിടെക്ട് ശുപാർശ ചെയ്യുകയും അതിന്റെ ഗുണങ്ങൾ കൈമാറുന്നതിനും കർട്ടൺസ്റ്റേസിംഗിന് സിട്രക്ചറൽ സ്റ്റേസിംഗിനെക്കാൾ അതിന്റെ ഫ്ലോയിംഗ് മെറ്റീരിയൽ

കാണാത്തവിധം മൂല്യവൽ ഉൾവശത്തായിരിക്കുന്ന പദ്ധതികൾക്ക് ഗ്ലാസ്
പാലിയിൽ നിന്നും കയ്യെടുക്കുന്നതിനും ഉപയോഗിച്ച് കുറയ്ക്കുന്നതിനും എൻജിനീയറിംഗ്
കുറയ്ക്കുന്നതിനും ഉപയോഗിച്ച് കുറയ്ക്കുന്നതിനും ഉപയോഗിച്ച് കുറയ്ക്കുന്നതിനും ഉപയോഗിച്ച് കുറയ്ക്കുന്നതിനും
പെട്ടെന്നായിട്ട് 71 വർഷം മുമ്പെയുടെ അധിക ചെലവ് പ്രതീക്ഷിക്കുന്നു.

രേൽ വിവരിച്ച വസ്തുതകൾ മനക്കിലെടുത്തും കെട്ടിടത്തിന്റെ പുറമേ നിന്നുള്ള
കാഴ്ചയിൽ (perspective view) വളരെ ഭംഗി കൂടുന്നതു കൊണ്ടും എൻജിനീയറിംഗ്
കുറയ്ക്കുന്നതിനും ഉപയോഗിച്ച് കുറയ്ക്കുന്നതിനും ഉപയോഗിച്ച് കുറയ്ക്കുന്നതിനും ഉപയോഗിച്ച് കുറയ്ക്കുന്നതിനും
ട്രാൻസ്പോർട്ടേഷൻ സൗകര്യങ്ങൾ ഉപയോഗിച്ച് കുറയ്ക്കുന്നതിനും ഉപയോഗിച്ച് കുറയ്ക്കുന്നതിനും ഉപയോഗിച്ച് കുറയ്ക്കുന്നതിനും

പെടാൻ കഴിയാത്ത ഗ്ലാസ് സൗകര്യങ്ങൾ ഉപയോഗിച്ച് കുറയ്ക്കുന്നതിനും ഉപയോഗിച്ച് കുറയ്ക്കുന്നതിനും ഉപയോഗിച്ച് കുറയ്ക്കുന്നതിനും
കൊണ്ടുണ്ടാകുന്ന അധിക ബാധ്യതയ്ക്ക് ആർക്കിടെക്ചറൽ ഫീസ് ക്ലെയിം
ചെയ്യുന്നതല്ലെന്ന് ആർക്കിടെക്ട് ശ്രീ. അജിത് സമ്മതിച്ചു.

3. സ്റ്റീൽ ക്ലാഡിംഗ്

അംഗീകരിച്ച ചെലവുകൾ പ്രകാരമുള്ള സ്റ്റീൽ ക്ലാഡിംഗ് ചോലികൾ 2000
മെട്രിക് ടൺ തീർക്കേണ്ടതാണ്.

4. ചുരുക്കിയ ഡ്രായിംഗ് പ്രകാരം കെട്ടിടത്തിന്റെ ഉയരത്തിന് ലോക്കൽ
അംഗീകൃതങ്ങളുടെ അംഗീകാരം നേടുന്നതിന് സംബന്ധിച്ച്

ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട ലോക്കൽ അംഗീകൃത അധികാരികളുമായി കൺസൾട്ടന്റ് ആർക്കിടെക്ട്
നിരന്തര സമ്പർക്കം പുലർത്തി ചുരുക്കിയ ഡ്രായിംഗിന് അംഗീകാരം നേടേണ്ടതാണ്.
കെട്ടിടത്തിന്റെ പൊക്കം കുറയ്ക്കുവാൻ ഒരു വഴിയും ഇല്ലാത്ത സാഹചര്യത്തിൽ
ചുരുക്കിയ ഡ്രായിംഗ് പ്രകാരം ലിഫ്റ്റിന്റെ മിഷ്യൻ റൂമിന്റെയും ഓവർഹെഡ് റാട്ടർ
ട്രാക്കിംഗ് യൂണിറ്റിന്റെയും തീർച്ചപ്പെടുത്തൽ തീർക്കേണ്ടതാണ്.

5. മേഖലയ്ക്കുള്ള വാർഡ് പോക്യുവാൻ റൂഫ് സ്റ്റാമ്പിന് ചെലവ് നൽകുന്നതിന് സംബന്ധിച്ച്

പ്ലാറ്റിനായിട്ടാണ് റൂഫ് സ്റ്റാമ്പ് കാസ്റ്റ് ചെയ്തിരിക്കുന്നത്. മേഖലയ്ക്കുള്ള ഒഴുകി
പോകുന്നതിനായി സംവിധാനമൊന്നും കൊടുത്തിട്ടില്ല. ആയതിനാൽ കോൺക്രീറ്റ്
മിശ്രിതം 1:2:4 അനുപാതത്തിൽ (എറ്റവും കൂടിയ 5 സെമീ) കോൺക്രീറ്റ് സ്റ്റാമ്പിന്റെ
മധ്യഭാഗത്ത് അറ്റത്തോട് ചെലുപ്പ് നൽകി ഇടുവാൻ തീർക്കേണ്ടതാണ്. ഇതിനേൽ അതിർ
പരിധി കഴിഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ളതിനാൽ ടി വർക്കിന് വേണ്ടിവരുന്ന സാമ്പത്തിക ബാധ്യത
കോൺക്രീറ്റ് ക്ലാസ്സിംഗിന് എഞ്ചിനീയർ, ചീഫ് എഞ്ചിനീയർക്ക് സമർപ്പിക്കേണ്ടതാണ്.

6. പസ്റ്റ് ഹൗസ്, റൂഫ് ഓഫിസ് ഡ്രായിംഗുകൾ

പസ്റ്റ് ഹൗസിന്റെ ഡ്രായിംഗുകൾ 4.11.2000 ലും റൂഫ് ഓഫിസ് ഡ്രായിംഗുകൾ 10.11.2000
ലും പരിശോധിച്ച് കൺസൾട്ടന്റ് ആർക്കിടെക്ട് അംഗീകരിച്ചു.



MINUTES BOOK

അതിനാലാണിത് വിട്ടുപോയത്. ഇതിന്റെ പറ്റി പരിശോധന നടത്തേണ്ടതാണ്. ഇതിന്റെ പറ്റി പരിശോധന നടത്തേണ്ടതാണ്.

28-10-2000ൽ നടന്ന 3-ആമത്ത് യോഗത്തിൽ അന്നത്തെ അദ്ധ്യക്ഷൻ്റെ അദ്ധ്യക്ഷതയിൽ നടന്ന യോഗത്തിൽ പങ്കെടുത്തവരുടെ അഭിപ്രായം കീഴെ പറയുന്നതായിരുന്നു.

10

കൊല്ലം ജില്ലയിൽ - അദ്ധ്യക്ഷൻ്റെ അദ്ധ്യക്ഷതയിൽ നടന്ന യോഗത്തിൽ പങ്കെടുത്തവരുടെ അഭിപ്രായം കീഴെ പറയുന്നതായിരുന്നു. 21-11-2000ൽ നടന്ന യോഗത്തിൽ നടന്ന യോഗത്തിൽ പങ്കെടുത്തവരുടെ അഭിപ്രായം കീഴെ പറയുന്നതായിരുന്നു. 21-11-2000ൽ നടന്ന യോഗത്തിൽ നടന്ന യോഗത്തിൽ പങ്കെടുത്തവരുടെ അഭിപ്രായം കീഴെ പറയുന്നതായിരുന്നു.

അദ്ധ്യക്ഷൻ്റെ അദ്ധ്യക്ഷതയിൽ നടന്ന യോഗത്തിൽ പങ്കെടുത്തവരുടെ അഭിപ്രായം കീഴെ പറയുന്നതായിരുന്നു. 21-11-2000ൽ നടന്ന യോഗത്തിൽ നടന്ന യോഗത്തിൽ പങ്കെടുത്തവരുടെ അഭിപ്രായം കീഴെ പറയുന്നതായിരുന്നു. 21-11-2000ൽ നടന്ന യോഗത്തിൽ നടന്ന യോഗത്തിൽ പങ്കെടുത്തവരുടെ അഭിപ്രായം കീഴെ പറയുന്നതായിരുന്നു.

ദിനാനുസ്മരണം

11 നീക്കം ചെയ്തവരുടെ പേരുകൾ കീഴെ പറയുന്നവരാണ്. 21-11-2000ൽ നടന്ന യോഗത്തിൽ നടന്ന യോഗത്തിൽ പങ്കെടുത്തവരുടെ അഭിപ്രായം കീഴെ പറയുന്നതായിരുന്നു.

അദ്ധ്യക്ഷൻ്റെ അദ്ധ്യക്ഷതയിൽ നടന്ന യോഗത്തിൽ പങ്കെടുത്തവരുടെ അഭിപ്രായം കീഴെ പറയുന്നതായിരുന്നു. 21-11-2000ൽ നടന്ന യോഗത്തിൽ നടന്ന യോഗത്തിൽ പങ്കെടുത്തവരുടെ അഭിപ്രായം കീഴെ പറയുന്നതായിരുന്നു.

21-11-2000ൽ നടന്ന യോഗത്തിൽ നടന്ന യോഗത്തിൽ പങ്കെടുത്തവരുടെ അഭിപ്രായം കീഴെ പറയുന്നതായിരുന്നു.

●
Kerala Legislature Secretariat
2020

KERALA NIYAMASABHA PRINTING PRESS.